

## Harpoon: A Flow-Level Traffic Generator for Router and Network Tests **Paul Barford** http://wail.cs.wisc.edu/

# **Harpoon Features and Benefits**

• scalably generates statistically representative network traffic at the IP flow level;

• recreates temporal volume (byte, packet, flow) characteristics of live traces; • recreates spatial characteristics (source and destination IP address frequencies); • self-configures (IMC '04 submission) from Netflow logs or packet traces - there is no parametric estimation re-

Flow records or packet session level A → B traces collected from sessions are analogous to canonical three-tuples: <IP source, IP dest, protocol> live environments are desired volumes used in a self-conconnection level figuration step to paconnections are analogous rameterize Harpoon's to canonical five-tuple flows <IP source, IP dest, protocol blocks represer source port, dest port> two-level model. As depicted in the figure inter-connection times to the right, empirical distributions of file sizes, inter-connection times, source and destination IP address frequencies, and the average number of sessions active over a series of consecutive time intervals are used to generate traffic that is statistically identical to the originally measured traffic.

Using flow records captured at a border router of the University of Wisconsin and packet traces from the University of Auckland, we validated the capability of Harpoon to reproduce the distribution data supplied as input (top row) and to generate the original byte/ packet/flow volumes (bottom two rows).

### **Comparison with Packet-Oriented Traffic Generators**

We ran experiments based on RFCs 2544 and 2889 to compare loads placed upon a Cisco 6500 using Harpoon and a Spirent AX/4000, a high-performance, precise packet-oriented traffic generator. • Two different load levels of 600Mbps and 900Mbps were used by first generating load with Harpoon, then matching average load using AX/4000. Configured Harpoon and the AX/4000 to generate traffic over a full class-B network, and used four different routing table sizes at the 6500.

- and packet loss rates.

Major findings:

- er loads.
- poon.



### **Architecture of Harpoon**





 Used three packet size configurations and four burst size configurations at the AX/4000. • Comparisons considered average forwarding rate at the 6500, switching fabric utilization,

• Forwarding rates with Harpoon are much more variable than for AX/4000, especially at high-

• Extreme conditions generated by AX/4000 (e.g., only using 40 byte packets) do not generate the kinds of variability in forwarding rates and switching fabric utilization produced by Har-

sic difference in load placed on a router or switch.



Average forwarding rates for Harpoon and the AX/4000 for different forwarding table sizes at the high offered load level. Vertical bars span one standard deviation above and below the mean. Lower average forwarding rate for Harpoon is due to packet loss at the 6500 (there is no packet loss for the AX/4000).



